UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/563,723	05/23/2006	Ning Yuan	8737-000039/US/NP	1924	
	7590 09/12/200 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L		EXAM	INER	
P.O. BOX 828	HILLS, MI 48303		LEE, MICHAEL		
BLOOMFIELL	) HILLS, MI 48303		8737-000039/US/NP 192  EXAMINER  LEE, MICHAEL  ART UNIT PAPER NU  2622  MAIL DATE DELIVERY	PAPER NUMBER	
			2622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/12/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/563,723	YUAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	M. Lee	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 €</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	· •	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examin  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance of the application.	awn from consideration. or election requirement.	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list.</li> </ul>	nts have been received.  Its have been received in a point documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/563,723 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1- 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (2003/0043124).

Regarding claim 1, Kim discloses a mobile phone projector (paragraphs 0040 and 0042) showing a video phone for inherently receiving, parsing, and decoding video and audio data from a stream, which meets the video player as claimed, a video projector (10) including a controller (13) for converting a received signal into a displaying data with a predetermined format, a photo-electric converting means (14), and a projecting means (15). But Kim does not disclose the data format and data rate converting means as claimed. In any event, the controller 13 is essentially the equivalent of the format and rate converting means as claimed because the controller 13 is capable to convert the received non-RGB data into RGB data in order to be utilized by the LCD 14, and to convert an input scanning rate to match those of the output scanning rate of the LCD projector. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to modify Kim to convert the input data format and input data rate to match those of the LCD projector if the input data format and rate and the respective output data format and rate are ever different.

Regarding claim 2, Kim does not disclose the sound delaying means as claimed. In any event, the examiner takes Official Notice that using audio delaying means to synchronize with the video signal is well known in the art because it enables the audio signal synchronizing with the video signal and thus eliminates the lip sync problem. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to include a sound delaying means into Kim so that the audio signal and the video signal are lip synced.

Regarding claim 3, the controller 13 inherently converts the input data into RGB data in order to be utilized by the LCD projector.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, in addition of above, the internet or video phone in Kim is a broadband phone because it is intended to receive broadband data.

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Klausner (6,489,934) discloses a cell phone projector.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Lee whose telephone number 571-272-7349. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran, can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,723 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/M. Lee/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2622